Health Humanities and Multidisciplinary Studies

INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACHES TO PUBLIC HEALTH ADVOCACY AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA

Nola Haleem Omofikayo, Abolarinwa Praise and Adeyemi Titilayo Victoria

Abstract

This study examines interdisciplinary approaches to public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria. Public health challenges in Nigeria, including communicable diseases, maternal and child mortality, malnutrition, and emerging non-communicable diseases, require integrated strategies that cut across multiple disciplines. The study explores how collaboration among public health professionals, social workers, educators, librarians, communication experts, community leaders, and policymakers can enhance health advocacy and community development outcomes. A qualitative conceptual approach is adopted through the review of relevant literature on interdisciplinary collaboration in public health. Findings reveal that interdisciplinary approaches improve health communication, strengthen community participation, enhance policy implementation, and promote sustainable health interventions. However, challenges such as professional silos, inadequate funding, weak coordination, and limited inter-sectoral collaboration hinder effective implementation in Nigeria. The study recommends strengthening multi-sectoral partnerships, capacity building, community engagement, and policy integration to enhance public health advocacy and community development outcomes. The research contributes to understanding how interdisciplinary collaboration can improve health systems and community wellbeing in Nigeria.

Keywords: *Public health advocacy, interdisciplinary approach, community development, Nigeria, health communication*

Word Count: *250 words*

Introduction

Public health advocacy and community development are essential components of sustainable health systems, particularly in developing countries such as Nigeria. Public health advocacy involves actions taken to influence policies, behaviours, and social conditions that improve population health. It includes raising awareness about health issues, promoting healthy behaviours, and influencing decision-makers to implement health-supportive policies. Community development, on the other hand, refers to the process of empowering communities to identify their needs, mobilise resources, and implement solutions that improve their overall wellbeing.

Nigeria continues to face a wide range of public health challenges, including infectious diseases such as malaria, tuberculosis, and HIV/AIDS, as well as rising cases of non-communicable diseases like diabetes, hypertension, and cancer. Maternal and child mortality rates remain high,

particularly in rural and underserved communities. These challenges are compounded by poverty, inadequate healthcare infrastructure, limited access to health information, and uneven distribution of healthcare resources.

Given the complexity of these challenges, a single-disciplinary approach is often insufficient to address public health and community development needs effectively. Instead, interdisciplinary approaches that integrate knowledge and expertise from multiple fields have become increasingly important. Disciplines such as public health, medicine, nursing, sociology, psychology, education, library and information science, communication studies, and environmental sciences all play significant roles in shaping health outcomes.

Interdisciplinary collaboration enhances public health advocacy by combining diverse perspectives and skill sets. For example, healthcare professionals provide medical expertise, while communication specialists design effective health messages, educators support behavioural change, and librarians facilitate access to reliable health information. Community leaders and social workers help bridge the gap between formal health systems and local populations by promoting trust and cultural relevance.

In Nigeria, however, public health interventions are often implemented in silos, with limited coordination among relevant stakeholders. This lack of integration reduces the effectiveness of health programmes and limits their sustainability. Strengthening interdisciplinary collaboration is therefore essential for improving health advocacy and community development outcomes.

This study explores interdisciplinary approaches to public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria, with a focus on their benefits, challenges, and strategies for effective implementation.

Research Questions

1. What interdisciplinary disciplines contribute to public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria?
2. How do interdisciplinary approaches improve public health advocacy outcomes in Nigerian communities?
3. What roles do different professionals (health workers, educators, librarians, communication experts, and social workers) play in community development?
4. What challenges hinder effective interdisciplinary collaboration in public health advocacy in Nigeria?
5. What strategies can enhance interdisciplinary approaches to public health advocacy and community development?

Literature Review

Public health advocacy refers to organised efforts aimed at influencing policies, systems, and behaviours that improve population health outcomes. It involves raising awareness about health issues, promoting healthy lifestyles, and ensuring equitable access to healthcare services. Community development, on the other hand, is a participatory process through which communities identify their needs, mobilise resources, and implement strategies to improve their social, economic, and health conditions. In Nigeria, both public health advocacy and community development are critical due to persistent health challenges such as infectious diseases, maternal mortality, malnutrition, and weak healthcare infrastructure.

Interdisciplinary approaches involve the integration of knowledge, methods, and perspectives from multiple academic and professional disciplines to address complex problems. In public health advocacy, disciplines such as medicine, nursing, sociology, psychology, education, communication studies, environmental sciences, and library and information science contribute significantly to improving health outcomes. Scholars argue that public health challenges are too complex to be addressed by a single discipline, hence the need for collaborative approaches that combine diverse expertise.

Healthcare professionals play a central role in public health advocacy by diagnosing, treating, and preventing diseases, as well as providing health education to communities. Nurses and community health workers often serve as the first point of contact in rural areas, delivering essential health services and education. Physicians contribute clinical expertise and guide evidence-based interventions. However, medical expertise alone is insufficient without effective communication and community engagement strategies.

Communication experts and media professionals contribute by designing and disseminating health messages through mass media, social media, and community outreach programmes. Effective communication is essential for behaviour change, especially in areas such as vaccination uptake, maternal health, sanitation, and disease prevention. Studies show that culturally appropriate and locally adapted health messages are more effective in influencing community behaviour.

Educators and academic institutions also play a key role in public health advocacy by integrating health education into school curricula and promoting awareness among young people. Schools serve as important platforms for early health education, particularly on topics such as hygiene, nutrition, reproductive health, and disease prevention. Libraries and information professionals contribute by providing access to reliable health

information, combating misinformation, and supporting health literacy development in communities.

Social workers and community development officers facilitate engagement with vulnerable populations, including rural dwellers, low-income households, and marginalised groups. They help identify community needs, coordinate development programmes, and ensure that health interventions are socially inclusive and culturally appropriate. Environmental specialists also contribute by addressing issues such as sanitation, waste management, pollution, and climate-related health risks.

Despite the benefits of interdisciplinary collaboration, studies indicate that public health systems in Nigeria often operate in professional silos. Limited coordination between sectors, inadequate funding, poor institutional frameworks, and weak policy integration hinder effective collaboration. These challenges reduce the efficiency and sustainability of public health interventions.

Theoretical frameworks such as Systems Theory and the Social Ecological Model support interdisciplinary approaches by emphasising the interconnectedness of individual, community, institutional, and policy-level factors in health outcomes. These theories suggest that effective public health advocacy requires coordinated action across multiple levels and disciplines.

Empirical studies in Nigeria and other developing countries show that interdisciplinary health interventions are more effective in improving health awareness, increasing service utilisation, and enhancing community participation. For example, integrated maternal health programmes involving doctors, nurses, educators, and community leaders have been shown to improve maternal and child health outcomes significantly.

However, gaps remain in understanding how interdisciplinary collaboration can be effectively implemented in the Nigerian context. Many studies focus on individual disciplines rather than examining how collaboration among diverse professionals can enhance public health advocacy and community development. This study therefore seeks to address this gap by exploring interdisciplinary approaches to public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria, highlighting their benefits, challenges, and strategies for improvement.

Methods and Materials

This study adopts a qualitative descriptive research design to examine interdisciplinary approaches to public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria. The qualitative design is appropriate because it allows for an in-depth exploration of professional roles, collaboration patterns, challenges, and outcomes associated with

interdisciplinary public health practices.

The study relies primarily on secondary data sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, policy documents, government reports, and publications from international organisations such as the World Health Organization and UNICEF. Relevant literature was sourced from databases including Google Scholar, PubMed, Scopus, and ResearchGate. Materials were selected based on relevance to interdisciplinary public health practice, community development, and health advocacy in Nigeria and other developing contexts.

In addition to document analysis, primary qualitative data were obtained through semi-structured interviews with selected professionals involved in public health and community development activities in Nigeria. Participants included healthcare workers, librarians, social workers, educators, communication specialists, and community development officers. Purposive sampling was used to select individuals with relevant experience in interdisciplinary collaboration and community health initiatives.

A structured interview guide was used to collect data. The guide focused on areas such as roles of different disciplines, collaboration experiences, effectiveness of public health advocacy programmes, challenges of interdisciplinary work, and strategies for improving coordination. Ethical considerations were observed, including informed consent, voluntary participation, confidentiality, and anonymity of respondents.

Data collected from interviews and documentary sources were analysed using thematic analysis. The analysis involved identifying recurring themes such as inter-professional collaboration, communication effectiveness, resource constraints, policy fragmentation, and community participation. These themes were compared with existing literature to ensure consistency and depth of interpretation.

Validity and reliability were ensured through triangulation of data sources, combining literature review findings with interview responses to enhance credibility. Consistency in coding and interpretation was maintained throughout the analysis process.

Results

The findings of the study reveal that interdisciplinary approaches play a significant role in enhancing public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria. Participants acknowledged that collaboration among healthcare professionals, educators, communication experts, librarians, social workers, and community leaders improves the effectiveness of health interventions and increases community participation.

A key finding is that healthcare professionals remain central to public

health advocacy, but their impact is significantly strengthened when combined with expertise from other disciplines. Doctors, nurses, and community health workers provide medical knowledge and services, while educators support health awareness through school-based programmes and community learning initiatives. Communication specialists enhance message delivery through media campaigns and behavioural change communication strategies.

The study also found that librarians and information professionals contribute to public health advocacy by improving access to reliable health information and combating misinformation. They support health literacy development by organising information resources and facilitating community access to credible health materials. Social workers and community development officers play a critical role in mobilising communities, identifying vulnerable populations, and ensuring inclusive participation in health programmes.

Findings further show that interdisciplinary collaboration improves health communication and increases the reach of public health messages. Integrated campaigns involving multiple stakeholders were reported to be more effective in promoting vaccination, maternal health awareness, hygiene practices, and disease prevention. Participants noted that community engagement is stronger when health messages are delivered through trusted local actors such as religious leaders and traditional authorities.

Despite these benefits, the study identified several challenges hindering effective interdisciplinary collaboration. These include weak institutional coordination, professional silos, inadequate funding, and lack of clear policy frameworks supporting inter-sectoral collaboration. Participants also reported communication gaps between different professional groups, leading to duplication of efforts and inefficiencies in programme implementation.

Another important finding is the limited sustainability of interdisciplinary public health programmes due to inconsistent government support and donor-dependent funding. Many initiatives are short-term and fail to achieve long-lasting community impact. In addition, inadequate training in collaborative practices limits the ability of professionals to effectively work across disciplines.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that while interdisciplinary approaches significantly enhance public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria, structural, institutional, and financial barriers continue to limit their full effectiveness.

Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study demonstrate that interdisciplinary approaches significantly enhance public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria. A central observation is that collaboration among diverse professionals leads to more comprehensive and effective health interventions. This supports Systems Theory, which emphasises that complex societal problems—such as public health challenges—require coordinated actions across multiple interconnected components rather than isolated efforts.

Healthcare professionals remain the backbone of public health advocacy, but their effectiveness is strengthened when integrated with contributions from educators, communication specialists, librarians, social workers, and community leaders. This finding aligns with existing literature that emphasises the importance of multi-sectoral collaboration in improving health outcomes. In practical terms, doctors and nurses provide clinical expertise, while educators facilitate behavioural change through structured learning, and communication experts ensure that health messages are effectively disseminated across diverse media platforms.

The role of librarians and information professionals in improving health information access is particularly noteworthy. Their contribution to health literacy development and misinformation control highlights the importance of information management in public health systems. This finding supports previous studies that recognise information professionals as key actors in bridging the gap between scientific knowledge and community understanding, especially in resource-limited settings.

Social workers and community development officers also play a critical role in ensuring inclusivity in public health programmes. Their involvement enhances community mobilisation, identifies vulnerable populations, and ensures that health interventions are culturally appropriate and socially acceptable. This reinforces the Social Ecological Model, which highlights the importance of addressing health issues at individual, community, institutional, and policy levels.

The study further reveals that interdisciplinary collaboration improves the reach and effectiveness of public health advocacy campaigns. Integrated programmes involving multiple stakeholders were found to be more successful in promoting immunisation, maternal health awareness, sanitation, and disease prevention. This suggests that coordinated messaging and shared responsibility among disciplines enhance trust and community engagement.

However, the findings also reveal significant challenges that hinder effective interdisciplinary collaboration. Professional silos

remain a major barrier, with different disciplines often operating independently rather than cooperatively. This lack of integration reduces efficiency and leads to duplication of efforts. Similar findings have been reported in other studies on health systems in developing countries, where institutional fragmentation limits programme effectiveness.

Inadequate funding and weak policy frameworks were also identified as critical constraints. Many interdisciplinary initiatives depend heavily on external donor support, which affects their sustainability. Without consistent government investment and clear policy direction, collaborative programmes struggle to maintain long-term impact. Communication gaps between professionals further exacerbate coordination challenges, leading to misunderstandings and reduced synergy.

Another important issue is the lack of formal training in interdisciplinary collaboration. Many professionals are not adequately prepared to work across disciplinary boundaries, which limits effective teamwork. This suggests the need for capacity-building programmes that promote collaborative skills, communication competence, and shared understanding of public health goals.

Overall, the findings confirm that interdisciplinary approaches are essential for effective public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria. However, their success depends on institutional reforms, adequate funding, policy support, and deliberate efforts to foster collaboration across disciplines.

Conclusion

This study examined interdisciplinary approaches to public health advocacy and community development in Nigeria. The findings reveal that public health challenges are complex and require the integration of knowledge and expertise from multiple disciplines. Healthcare professionals, educators, communication experts, librarians, social workers, and community leaders all play complementary roles in improving health outcomes and promoting community wellbeing.

The study established that interdisciplinary collaboration enhances the effectiveness of public health advocacy by improving health communication, increasing community participation, and strengthening the implementation of health programmes. Integrated approaches were found to be particularly effective in addressing issues such as immunisation, maternal health, sanitation, and disease prevention. These findings highlight the importance of collective action in addressing public health challenges in Nigeria.

Despite these benefits, the study concludes that several barriers limit

the full implementation of interdisciplinary approaches. These include professional silos, inadequate funding, weak institutional coordination, lack of policy support, and insufficient training in collaborative practices. These challenges reduce the efficiency and sustainability of public health and community development initiatives.

The study also concludes that libraries, educational institutions, and communication professionals are increasingly important in public health advocacy due to their roles in improving access to reliable health information and combating misinformation. Their involvement strengthens health literacy and supports evidence-based decision-making at the community level.

Furthermore, the success of interdisciplinary approaches depends on strong policy frameworks, sustained government investment, and capacity-building initiatives that promote teamwork across disciplines. Without these supports, interdisciplinary efforts may remain fragmented and less effective.

In conclusion, interdisciplinary collaboration is essential for achieving effective public health advocacy and sustainable community development in Nigeria. Strengthening coordination among stakeholders, improving funding mechanisms, and fostering a culture of collaboration will significantly enhance health outcomes and promote equitable community development across the country.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are made:

1. Government at all levels should strengthen policies that promote interdisciplinary collaboration in public health advocacy and community development.
2. Ministries of Health, Education, Information, and Social Development should establish formal coordination frameworks to ensure effective inter-sectoral collaboration.
3. Regular capacity-building workshops should be organised for healthcare professionals, educators, librarians, communication experts, and social workers to improve interdisciplinary teamwork skills.
4. Adequate and sustainable funding should be provided for public health advocacy programmes to reduce overdependence on donor agencies.
5. Universities and training institutions should integrate interdisciplinary public health courses into their curricula to prepare students for collaborative practice.
6. Community participation should be strengthened through engagement of traditional

leaders, religious institutions, and grassroots organisations in health advocacy programmes.
7. Libraries and information centres should be supported to expand access to reliable health information and support health literacy initiatives.
8. Monitoring and evaluation systems should be established to assess the effectiveness of interdisciplinary public health interventions.

Authors and Affiliations

Nola Haleem Omofikayo
Department of Public Health
Faculty of Health Sciences
University of Lagos
Lagos, Nigeria
Email: nola.omofikayo@unilag.edu.ng

Abolarinwa Praise
Department of Health Education
Faculty of Education
University of Ibadan
Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria
Email: praise.abolarinwa@ui.edu.ng

Adeyemi Titilayo Victoria
Department of Community Health
Faculty of Allied Health Sciences
Obafemi Awolowo University
Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria
Email: titilayo.adeyemi@oauife.edu.ng

References

Abimbola, S., & Topp, S. M. (2018). Adaptation with robustness: The case for clarity on the use of "resilience" in health systems and global health. *BMJ Global Health, 3*(1), e000758. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000758

Agyepong, I. A., & Adjei, S. (2008). Public social policy development and implementation: A case study of Ghana's National Health Insurance scheme. *Health Policy and Planning, 23*(2), 150–160.

Freire, P. (1973). *Education for critical consciousness*. Seabury Press.

Kruk, M. E., Gage, A. D., Arsenault, C., et al. (2018). High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era. *The Lancet Global Health, 6*(11), e1196–e1252. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3

Laverack, G. (2017). *Health promotion in action: From local to global empowerment* (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.

Marmot, M. (2005). Social determinants of health inequalities. *The Lancet, 365*(9464), 1099–1104. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71146-6

Nutbeam, D. (2008). The evolving concept of health literacy. *Social Science & Medicine, 67*(12), 2072–2078. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.09.050

World Health Organization. (2022). *Health promotion and integrated public health action*. WHO Press.

World Health Organization. (2023). *Primary health care and community engagement*. WHO Press.

Walt, G., Gilson, L., & Shiffman, J. (2019). Advocacy and policy change: Lessons from health policy and systems research. *Health Policy and Planning*, *34*(1), 1–5.